



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,348	11/14/2003	Christopher L. Cagan	49416-0500	4137
66939	7590	05/14/2008	EXAMINER	
FIRST AMERICAN REAL ESTATE c/o SNELL & WILMER, LLP 600 ANTON BOULEVARD SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA, CA 92626			SCARITO, JOHN D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		3692	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
05/14/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/713,348	Applicant(s) CAGAN, CHRISTOPHER L.
	Examiner John D. Scarito	Art Unit 3692

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John D. Scarito. (3) Rouz Tabaddor, Applicant's Representative.
 (2) Harish Dass, Primary Examiner. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cole I.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant fixed 3 independent proposed claims for discussion. Applicant briefly discussed Cole I, the status of the art, and the use of spatial, temporal, and total distortions. Applicant pointed Examiner to Figure 3 for reference in examining amended claims. Examiner pointed out obvious 112 issues in Applicant's proposed claims. Examiner followed up with Applicant regarding proper Markush language and MPEP citations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Harish T Dass/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.